

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Expo. Math. 24 (2006) 281–289

EXPOSITIONES  
MATHEMATICAE[www.elsevier.de/exmath](http://www.elsevier.de/exmath)

# Krull–Schmidt reduction of principal bundles in arbitrary characteristic

V. Balaji<sup>a</sup>, I. Biswas<sup>b,\*</sup>, D.S. Nagaraj<sup>c</sup>, A.J. Parameswaran<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup>*Chennai Mathematical Institute, 92, G.N. Chetty Road, Chennai 600017, India*<sup>b</sup>*School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India*<sup>c</sup>*The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, CIT Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600113, India*

Received 17 June 2005

---

## Abstract

Let  $M$  be an irreducible projective variety defined over an algebraically closed field  $k$ , and let  $E_G$  be a principal  $G$ -bundle over  $M$ , where  $G$  is a connected reductive linear algebraic group defined over  $k$ . We show that for  $E_G$  there is a naturally associated conjugacy class of Levi subgroups of  $G$ . Given a Levi subgroup  $H$  in this conjugacy class, the principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_G$  admits a reduction of structure group to  $H$ . Furthermore, this reduction is unique up to an automorphism of  $E_G$ .

© 2005 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved.

**MSC 2000:** 14L10; 14L30**Keywords:** Principal bundle; Krull–Schmidt reduction

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $M$  be a projective variety defined over an arbitrary field  $k$ . A vector bundle  $V$  over  $M$  is called indecomposable if  $V$  is not isomorphic to a direct sum of two vector bundles of positive rank. Using induction on rank it follows that any vector bundle over  $M$  is isomorphic

---

\* Corresponding author. Fax: +91 22 228 04610.

*E-mail addresses:* [balaji@cmi.ac.in](mailto:balaji@cmi.ac.in) (V. Balaji), [indranil@math.tifr.res.in](mailto:indranil@math.tifr.res.in) (I. Biswas), [dsn@imsc.res.in](mailto:dsn@imsc.res.in) (D.S. Nagaraj), [param@math.tifr.res.in](mailto:param@math.tifr.res.in) (A.J. Parameswaran).

to a direct sum of indecomposable vector bundles. A theorem due to Atiyah says that if

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$$

and

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V'_i$$

are two decompositions of  $V$  into direct sum of indecomposable vector bundles, then  $m = n$ , and furthermore, there is a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $V'_i$  is isomorphic to  $V_{\sigma(i)}$  for all  $i \in [1, m]$ ; see [1].

In [2], this was generalized to principal bundles but under the assumptions that the base field  $k$  is algebraically closed and its characteristic is zero.

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. Let  $G$  be a connected reductive linear algebraic group defined over the algebraically closed field  $k$ . By a Levi subgroup of  $G$  we will mean a connected reductive algebraic subgroup  $H$  of some parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  such that  $H$  projects isomorphically onto the quotient of  $P$  by its unipotent radical  $R_u(P)$ .

Given a principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_G$  over  $M$ , in [2] a natural reduction of structure group  $E_H \subset E_G$  to a certain Levi subgroup  $H \subset G$  associated to  $E_G$  is constructed under the assumption that the characteristic of  $k$  is zero. For  $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, k)$  this reduction gives the above mentioned result of [1]. It should be emphasized that the result of [1] is valid for any field not necessarily algebraically closed.

The above-mentioned reduction of structure group  $E_H \subset E_G$  depends on the choice of a maximal torus in the automorphism group of  $E_G$ . If  $E_{H_1}$  is another such reduction with  $H_1 \subset G$  in the conjugacy class, then there is an element  $g \in G$  and an automorphism  $\tau$  of  $E_G$  such that  $H_1 = gHg^{-1}$  and  $E_{H_1}g = \tau(E_H) \subset E_G$ .

Although some of the intermediate steps in [2] are not valid if  $k$  is of positive characteristic, it is natural to ask if the final reduction of structure group constructed in [2] still exists if  $k$  is of positive characteristic.

Our aim here is to give a variation of the construction of the reduction of structure group (done in [2]) which is valid for any characteristic. Consequently, the main result of [2] remains valid for algebraically closed fields of arbitrary characteristic.

## 2. Construction of reduction

Let  $G$  be a connected reductive linear algebraic group defined over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . Let  $M$  be an irreducible projective variety defined over the field  $k$ .

Take a principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_G$  over  $M$ . Consider the reduced group defined by all automorphisms of the  $G$ -bundle  $E_G$ . We recall that an automorphism of  $E_G$  is an isomorphism of the underlying variety that commutes with the action of  $G$  and induces the identity map of  $M$ . This reduced group will be denoted by  $\mathrm{Aut}_r(E_G)$ . In other words,  $\mathrm{Aut}_r(E_G)$  is the reduced automorphism group scheme of  $E_G$ .

It is well-known that  $\text{Aut}_r(E_G)$  is an algebraic group. However, we will put down below a proof of it.

Fix a finite-dimensional faithful left  $G$ -module  $V$ . Let  $E_V = E_G(V)$  be the vector bundle over  $M$  associated to  $E_G$  for the  $G$ -module  $V$ . We recall that  $E_V$  is the quotient of  $E_G \times V$  by the following action of  $G$ : the action of any  $g \in G$  sends a point  $(z, v) \in (E_G, V)$  to  $(zg, g^{-1}v)$ . Any automorphism of the principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_G$  induces an automorphism of any associated bundle. In particular, any automorphism of  $E_G$  induces an automorphism of the vector bundle  $E_V$ .

We will briefly recall the construction of this induced automorphism. For any automorphism  $\gamma$  of the principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_G$ , consider the automorphism of  $E_G \times V$  defined by  $(z, v) \mapsto (\gamma(z), v)$ . This automorphism of  $E_G \times V$  descends to an automorphism of the quotient space  $E_V = (E_G \times V)/G$ .

Since the  $G$ -module  $V$  is faithful, an automorphism of the principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_G$  is determined by the induced automorphism of  $E_V$ . In other words, for any two distinct automorphisms of the principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_G$ , the corresponding induced automorphisms of  $E_V$  remain distinct.

Consider the reduced subscheme of the affine space  $H^0(M, \text{End}(E_V))$  given by all automorphisms of  $E_V$  that arise as induced automorphisms (induced by automorphisms of the principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_G$ ). The earlier defined group  $\text{Aut}_r(E_G)$  is identified with this reduced subscheme of  $H^0(M, \text{End}(E_V))$ .

Let  $\text{Ad}(E_G) := E_G(G)$  be the group scheme over  $M$  associated to  $E_G$ . We recall that  $\text{Ad}(E_G)$  is the quotient of  $E_G \times G$  for the following action of  $G$ : the action of any  $g \in G$  sends a point  $(z, g') \in (E_G, G)$  to  $(zg, g^{-1}g'g)$ . This group scheme  $\text{Ad}(E_G)$  is called the *adjoint bundle* (or the *gauge bundle*) of  $E_G$ . It is easy to see that any automorphism of the principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_G$  gives a canonically defined section of the fiber bundle  $\text{Ad}(E_G)$  over  $M$ , and conversely, any section of  $\text{Ad}(E_G)$  gives an automorphism of the principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_G$ . Indeed, this follows from the fact that any automorphism of the variety  $G$  that commutes with all the right translations, of  $G$  by itself, must be a left translation of  $G$ . Therefore, the group  $\text{Aut}_r(E_G)$  is identified with the group defined by the sections of the group scheme  $\text{Ad}(E_G)$  over  $M$ .

Let

$$\psi: M \times \text{Aut}_r(E_G) \longrightarrow \text{Ad}(E_G)$$

be the morphism defined by

$$(x, \gamma) \longmapsto \widehat{\gamma}(x), \quad (1)$$

where  $\widehat{\gamma}$  is the section of the fiber bundle  $\text{Ad}(E_G)$  over  $M$  corresponding to the automorphism  $\gamma$  of  $E_G$ . For any closed point  $x \in M$ , let

$$\psi_x: \text{Aut}_r(E_G) \longrightarrow \text{Ad}(E_G)_x \quad (2)$$

be the restriction to  $\{x\} \times \text{Aut}_r(E_G) \subset M \times \text{Aut}_r(E_G)$  of the above morphism  $\psi$ .

Take any semisimple element

$$\gamma \in \text{Aut}_r(E_G). \quad (3)$$

So, for any closed point  $x \in M$ , the element

$$\psi_x(\gamma) \in \text{Ad}(E_G)_x$$

is semisimple, where  $\psi_x$  is defined in (2). Each closed point  $z$  of the fiber  $(E_G)_x$  gives an isomorphism of  $G$  with  $\text{Ad}(E_G)_x$  which is defined by

$$g \mapsto (z, g),$$

$g \in G$  (recall that  $\text{Ad}(E_G)$  is a quotient of  $E_G \times G$ ). Since these isomorphisms differ by inner automorphisms of  $G$ , we have an identification of the group  $\text{Ad}(E_G)_x$  with  $G$  up to an inner automorphism of  $G$ . Consequently,  $\psi_x(\gamma)$  gives a well-defined conjugacy class in  $G$ . We will show that this conjugacy class in  $G$  does not depend on the choice of the point  $x$  (it depends only on  $\gamma$ ).

Take any function  $f$  on  $G$  which is invariant under inner automorphisms of  $G$ . The function on  $E_G \times G$  defined by  $(z, g) \mapsto f(g)$  descends to a function on the quotient space  $\text{Ad}(E_G)$ . This descended function on  $\text{Ad}(E_G)$  will be denoted by  $\hat{f}$ . Therefore,  $\hat{f} \circ \hat{\gamma}$  is a function on  $M$ , where  $\hat{\gamma}$  is the section of  $\text{Ad}(E_G)$ , as in (1), corresponding to  $\gamma$ . Since  $M$  is complete and connected,  $\hat{f} \circ \hat{\gamma}$  is a constant function. Since  $\psi_x(\gamma)$  is semisimple, this implies that the conjugacy class of  $\psi_x(\gamma)$  is independent of  $x$ . Indeed, this follows from the fact that the space of invariant functions on  $G$  separate conjugacy classes of semisimple elements.

Fix an element

$$g_0 \in G \tag{4}$$

in the conjugacy class of  $G$  defined by  $\psi_x(\gamma)$ .

Let

$$q: E_G \times G \longrightarrow \text{Ad}(E_G)$$

be the quotient map. Consider the inverse image

$$\mathcal{X} = q^{-1}(\hat{\gamma}(M)) \subset E_G \times G \tag{5}$$

which is an irreducible subvariety, where  $q$  is the above projection, and  $\hat{\gamma}$  is as in (1).

Let  $p_E$  (respectively,  $p_G$ ) be the projection of  $E_G \times G$  to  $E_G$  (respectively,  $G$ ), and let  $p$  be the natural projection of  $E_G \times G$  to  $M$ .

On  $\mathcal{X}$  defined in (5) we have the maps

$$E_G \xleftarrow{p_E|_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{(p \times p_G)|_{\mathcal{X}}} M \times \text{Orb}(g_0) \subset M \times G, \tag{6}$$

where  $\text{Orb}(g_0)$  is the image of the map  $G \longrightarrow G$  defined by  $g \mapsto g^{-1}g_0g$  with  $g_0$  being the fixed element in (4), or in other words,  $\text{Orb}(g_0)$  is the orbit of  $g_0$  under the adjoint action of  $G$  on itself.

Let

$$Y_{g_0} := M \times \{g_0\} \subset M \times \text{Orb}(g_0) \tag{7}$$

be the subvariety.

**Lemma 2.1.** *The inverse image  $((p \times p_G)|_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}(Y_{g_0}) \subset \mathcal{X}$  is reduced, where the map  $(p \times p_G)|_{\mathcal{X}}$  is as in (6), and  $Y_{g_0}$  is defined in (7).*

**Proof.** Consider the map  $f : G \rightarrow G$  defined by  $g \mapsto g^{-1}g_0g$ , where  $g_0 \in G$  is as in (4). It suffices to show that  $f^{-1}(g_0) \subset G$  is reduced. But this follows from the fact that  $g_0$  is semisimple. For, consider the adjoint action of  $g_0$  on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ ; let  $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  denote this automorphism given by  $g_0$ . The tangent subspace  $T_{g_0} \text{Orb}(g_0) \subset T_{g_0}G$  is the direct sum of the eigenspaces of  $\eta$  for all the eigenvalues  $\lambda$  with  $\lambda \neq 1$ , and the tangent bundle of the fiber  $f^{-1}(g_0)$  is identified with the trivial vector bundle over  $f^{-1}(g_0)$  whose fiber is the eigenspace of  $\eta$  for the eigenvalue 1. Therefore, the subscheme  $f^{-1}(g_0) \subset G$  is reduced.  $\square$

Let

$$\mathcal{R} := f^{-1}(g_0) = C_G(g_0) \quad (8)$$

be the closed subgroup defined in the proof of Lemma 2.1. Here  $C_G(g_0)$  denotes the centralizer of  $g_0$  in  $G$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *The image*

$$(p_E|_{\mathcal{X}})((p \times p_G)|_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}(Y_{g_0}) \subset E_G$$

*is a reduction of structure group of  $E_G$  to the subgroup  $\mathcal{R} \subset G$  defined in (8), where  $p_E|_{\mathcal{X}}$  and  $((p \times p_G)|_{\mathcal{X}})$  are the maps in (6), and  $Y_{g_0}$  is defined in (7).*

**Proof.** Since  $((p \times p_G)|_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}(Y_{g_0})$  is reduced (see Lemma 2.1), its image

$$(p_E|_{\mathcal{X}})((p \times p_G)|_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}(Y_{g_0}) \subset E_G$$

is a reduced subvariety of  $E_G$ . The restriction to  $(p_E|_{\mathcal{X}})((p \times p_G)|_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}(Y_{g_0})$  of the natural projection of  $E_G$  to  $M$  is clearly smooth and surjective. Now it is straight-forward to check that the group  $\mathcal{R}$  (defined in (8)) acts transitively on the fibers of the projection of  $(p_E|_{\mathcal{X}})((p \times p_G)|_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}(Y_{g_0})$  to  $M$  (see [2] for the details). This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $E_{\mathcal{R}} \subset E_G$  be the reduction of structure group of  $E_G$  to  $\mathcal{R}$  constructed in Proposition 2.2. If we replace  $g_0$  by  $g'_0 = \alpha^{-1}g_0\alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in G$ , then the centralizer  $\mathcal{R} \subset G$  is replaced by  $\mathcal{R}' = \alpha^{-1}\mathcal{R}\alpha$ , and the reduction of structure group  $E_{\mathcal{R}}$  gets replaced by  $E_{\mathcal{R}'} := E_{\mathcal{R}}\alpha \subset E_G$  (which is a reduction of structure group of  $E_G$  to  $\mathcal{R}'$ ).

Let  $\mathcal{T} \subset \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{r}}(E_G)^0$  be a maximal torus, where  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{r}}(E_G)^0 \subset \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{r}}(E_G)$  is the connected component of the group  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{r}}(E_G)$  containing the identity element. Fix an element  $\gamma \in \mathcal{T}$  such that the centralizer of the element  $\psi_x(\gamma)$  in  $\text{Ad}(E_G)_x$  coincides with the centralizer of  $\psi_x(\mathcal{T})$ , where  $\psi_x$  is the evaluation map in (2). The following Lemma 2.4 shows that such an element  $\gamma$  exists. (Although this lemma is well-known, we have included a proof of it for the convenience of the reader.)

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $H$  be a connected linear algebraic group defined over  $k$  and  $T \subset H$  a torus. Then there exists an element  $\xi \in T$  such that  $C_G(\xi) = C_G(T)$ , where  $C_G(\xi)$  and  $C_G(T)$  are the centralizers in  $G$  of  $\xi$  and  $T$ , respectively.*

**Proof.** If  $G_1 \subset G_2$  are algebraic groups and  $G' \subset G_1$  a commutative subgroup, then clearly  $C_{G_1}(G')_{\text{red}} = (C_{G_2}(G') \cap G_1)_{\text{red}}$ . Also note that if  $G'$  is a torus, then both  $C_{G_1}(G')$  and  $C_{G_2}(G') \cap G_1$  are reduced. In view of these observations it suffices to prove the lemma for  $H = \text{GL}(n, k)$ .

After conjugation we can assume that the torus  $T \subset \text{GL}(n, k)$  is of the form

$$T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{G}_m \cdot \text{Id}_{V_i}$$

with  $k^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$ . Now take an element

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \cdot \text{Id}_{V_i} =: \xi \in T$$

with the scalars  $\lambda_i$  pairwise distinct. Then clearly

$$C_{\text{GL}(n,k)}(\xi) = \prod_{i=1}^m \text{GL}(V_i) = C_{\text{GL}(n,k)}(T).$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Henceforth we impose the following two conditions on  $\gamma$ :

- $\gamma \in \mathcal{T}$ , and
- the centralizer of the element  $\psi_x(\gamma)$  in  $\text{Ad}(E_G)_x$  coincides with the centralizer of the subgroup  $\psi_x(\mathcal{T}) \subset \text{Ad}(E_G)_x$ ,

where  $\mathcal{T} \subset \text{Aut}_r(E_G)^0$  is the fixed maximal torus.

The earlier observation that the conjugacy class in  $G$  defined by  $\psi_x(\gamma)$  is independent of  $x$  implies that the above condition that the centralizer of  $\psi_x(\gamma) \in \text{Ad}(E_G)_x$  coincides with the centralizer of  $\psi_x(\mathcal{T})$  actually does not depend on the choice of the point  $x \in M$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *The reduction of structure group  $E_{\mathcal{R}} \subset E_G$  to the subgroup  $\mathcal{R} \subset G$  constructed in Proposition 2.2 is independent of the choice of the element  $\gamma \in \mathcal{T}$  in the sense that if we replace  $\gamma$  by any  $\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{T}$  satisfying the above conditions, then there is an element  $g' \in G$  such that  $\mathcal{R}$  is replaced by  $(g')^{-1} \mathcal{R} g'$ , and the reduction  $E_{\mathcal{R}}$  gets replaced by  $E_{\mathcal{R}} g'$ .*

Furthermore, the reduction of structure group  $E_{\mathcal{R}}$  to  $\mathcal{R}$  constructed in Proposition 2.2 is independent of the choice of the maximal torus  $\mathcal{T} \subset \text{Aut}_r(E_G)^0$  in the sense that for another reduction of structure group  $E_{\mathcal{R}'} \subset E_G$  to  $\mathcal{R}' \subset G$  corresponding to another

choice of the maximal torus of  $\text{Aut}_r(E_G)^0$ , there is an automorphism  $\tau \in \text{Aut}_r(E_G)$  and an element  $\alpha \in G$  such that  $\mathcal{R}' = \alpha^{-1}\mathcal{R}\alpha$  as well as  $\tau(E_{\mathcal{R}}) = E_{\mathcal{R}}\alpha \subset E_G$ .

**Proof.** Take an element  $\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{T}$  such that the centralizer of the element  $\psi_x(\gamma_1)$  in  $\text{Ad}(E_G)_x$  coincides with the centralizer of  $\psi_x(\mathcal{T})$ . Fix an element  $g'_0 \in G$  in the conjugacy class in  $G$  defined by  $\psi_x(\gamma_1)$ . Therefore,  $g'_0$  is obtained by replacing  $\gamma$  with  $\gamma_1$  in the construction of  $g_0$  in (4). Take  $g' \in G$  such that the centralizer of  $g'_0$  in  $G$  coincides with  $(g')^{-1}\mathcal{R}g'$ . Since the centralizers of  $\psi_x(\gamma)$  and  $\psi_x(\gamma_1)$  coincide, such an element  $g'$  exists. This  $g'$  satisfies both the conditions in the first assertion in the theorem.

The second part follows using Remark 2.3 together with the fact that any two maximal tori in  $\text{Aut}_r(E_G)^0$  differ by an inner automorphism of  $\text{Aut}_r(E_G)^0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** The centralizer of a torus in  $G$  is a Levi subgroup [3, p. 26, Proposition 1.22]. Therefore, the group  $\mathcal{R}$  in Theorem 2.5 is a Levi subgroup of  $G$ . The reduction of structure group constructed in Theorem 2.5 clearly coincides with the one that was constructed in [2] under the extra assumption that the characteristic of  $k$  is zero.

Consider the linear group  $\text{GL}(n, k)$ . A parabolic subgroup of it corresponds to a filtration of the vector space  $k^{\oplus n}$ , and a Levi subgroup of a parabolic subgroup of  $\text{GL}(n, k)$  corresponds to a complete decomposition of the filtration of  $k^{\oplus n}$  defined by the parabolic subgroup. Therefore, if we set  $G = \text{GL}(n, k)$ , then a reduction of structure group of  $E_G$  to a Levi subgroup of  $\text{GL}(n, k)$  corresponds to a decomposition of the vector bundle  $E$  of rank  $n$  over  $M$  associated to  $E_G$  by the standard representation of  $\text{GL}(n, k)$ . From the conditions on  $\gamma$  that

- $\gamma \in \mathcal{T}$ , and
- the centralizer of the element  $\psi_x(\gamma)$  in  $\text{Ad}(E_G)_x$  coincides with the centralizer of  $\psi_x(\mathcal{T})$

it follows that each direct summand of the vector bundle  $E$  for the decomposition corresponding to the reduction of structure group  $E_{\mathcal{R}} \subset E_G$  constructed in Theorem 2.5 is in fact indecomposable. Since any endomorphism of an indecomposable vector bundle  $V$  over  $M$  is of the form  $\lambda \cdot \text{Id}_V + N$ , where  $\lambda \in k$  and  $N$  is a nilpotent endomorphism of  $V[1]$ , if we have a decomposition of the vector bundle  $E$  into a direct sum of indecomposable vector bundles, then the corresponding reduction of structure group of  $E_G$  to a Levi subgroup coincides with the one given in Theorem 2.5 for some choice of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Consequently, we recover from Theorem 2.5 the earlier mentioned theorem of [1] after making the extra assumption that the base field  $k$  is algebraically closed.

Let  $G$  be an orthogonal or a symplectic group. So a principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_G$  corresponds to a vector bundle  $E$  over  $M$  whose fibers are equipped with a nondegenerate bilinear form. This bilinear form on the fibers is symmetric or anti-symmetric depending on whether  $G$  is an orthogonal group or a symplectic group. This bilinear form identifies the vector bundle  $E$  with its dual  $E^*$ . A reduction of structure group of the principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_G$  to a Levi subgroup of  $G$  corresponds to a decomposition

$$E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m E_i$$

of the vector bundle  $E$  such that if

$$E^* = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m E_i^*$$

is the dual decomposition, then  $E_i = E_{m-i+1}^*$ ,  $i \in [1, m]$ , with respect to the above mentioned identification of  $E$  with  $E^*$ . Using this we may reformulate Theorem 2.5 for orthogonal and symplectic groups.

### 3. Equivariant Higgs bundles

Let  $\Gamma$  be an abstract group and  $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M)$  a homomorphism. So  $\Gamma$  has a left action on the variety  $M$  acting as algebraic automorphisms. Let  $E_G$  be a principal  $G$ -bundle over  $M$  equipped with a lift of the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $M$ . So  $\Gamma$  has a left action on the variety  $E_G$  acting as algebraic automorphisms such that

- the actions of  $G$  and  $\Gamma$  on  $E_G$  commute;
- the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $E_G$  descends to the given action of  $\Gamma$  on  $M$ .

Fix a vector bundle  $F$  over  $M$  equipped with a lift of the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $M$ . Let

$$\theta \in H^0(M, \text{ad}(E_G) \otimes F)^\Gamma \subset H^0(M, \text{ad}(E_G) \otimes F)$$

be a section left invariant by the action of  $\Gamma$ , where  $\text{ad}(E_G) = (E_G \times \mathfrak{g})/G$  is the adjoint vector bundle. We recall that  $\text{ad}(E_G)$  is the quotient of  $E_G \times \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of  $G$ , for the following action of  $G$ : the action of any  $g \in G$  sends  $(z, v) \in E_G \times \mathfrak{g}$  to  $(zg, \text{Ad}(g^{-1})(v))$ . So  $\text{ad}(E_G)$  is the Lie algebra bundle for the group scheme  $\text{Ad}(E_G)$  over  $M$ .

Consider the (reduced) subgroup

$$\text{Aut}_r(E_G, \theta)_\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}_r(E_G)$$

defined by all automorphisms that take the section  $\theta$  to itself and also commute with the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $E_G$ .

Take a semisimple element  $\gamma$  as in (3) satisfying the further condition that  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}_r(E_G, \theta)_\Gamma$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *For the reduction of structure group  $E_{\mathcal{R}} \subset E_G$  constructed in Proposition 2.2 for the above  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}_r(E_G, \theta)_\Gamma$ , the following are valid:*

- (1) *the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $E_G$  leaves the subvariety  $E_{\mathcal{R}}$  invariant;*
- (2) *the section  $\theta$  is contained in the subspace*

$$H^0(M, \text{ad}(E_{\mathcal{R}}) \otimes F) \rightarrow H^0(M, \text{ad}(E_G) \otimes F),$$

where  $\text{ad}(E_{\mathcal{R}})$  is the adjoint bundle of  $E_{\mathcal{R}}$ .



**Proof.** That the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $E_G$  leaves  $E_{\mathcal{R}}$  invariant follows from the construction of the reduction  $E_{\mathcal{R}}$  and the assumption that the automorphism  $\gamma$  commutes with the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $E_G$ .

For any closed point  $x \in M$ , the subalgebra  $\text{ad}(E_{\mathcal{R}})_x \subset \text{ad}(E_G)_x$  coincides with the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 of the adjoint action of  $\psi_x(\gamma) \in \text{Ad}(E_G)_x$  (the map  $\psi_x$  is defined in (2)) on the Lie algebra  $\text{ad}(E_G)_x$ . Since  $\gamma$  takes  $\theta$  to itself, it follows therefore that for any dual element  $v \in F_x^*$ , the element  $v(\theta(x)) \in \text{ad}(E_G)$  (the evaluation of the form  $v$ ) is contained in the subspace  $\text{ad}(E_{\mathcal{R}})_x \subset \text{ad}(E_G)_x$ . This proves the second part, and the proof of the proposition is complete.  $\square$

If we replace  $\mathcal{R}$  by  $\mathcal{R}' = \alpha^{-1}\mathcal{R}\alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in G$  is a fixed element, then

$$\text{ad}(E_{\mathcal{R}}) = \text{ad}(E_{\mathcal{R}'}) \subset \text{ad}(E_G),$$

where  $E_{\mathcal{R}'} = E_{\mathcal{R}}\alpha$ . Therefore, Remark 2.3 remains valid in the present context.

Let

$$\text{Aut}_{\Gamma}^0(E_G, \theta)_{\Gamma} \subset \text{Aut}_{\Gamma}(E_G, \theta)_{\Gamma}$$

be the connected component containing the identity element. Take a maximal torus  $\mathcal{T}' \subset \text{Aut}_{\Gamma}^0(E_G, \theta)_{\Gamma}$  and an element

$$\gamma \in \mathcal{T}'$$

such that the centralizer of  $\psi_x(\gamma)$  in  $\text{Ad}(E_G)_x$  coincides with the centralizer of  $\psi_x(\mathcal{T}')$ , where  $\psi_x$  is defined in (2). Let  $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, \theta) \subset G$  be a subgroup constructed as in (8) for this choice of  $\gamma$ .

Now we have the following analog of Theorem 2.5:

**Theorem 3.2.** *The reduction of structure group of  $E_G$  to the Levi subgroup  $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, \theta)$  constructed in Proposition 3.1 for the above element  $\gamma$  is unique in the sense described in Theorem 2.5. Furthermore, this reduction of structure group has the property that there is no proper Levi subgroup of  $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, \theta)$  and a reduction of structure group of  $E_G$  to it satisfying the two assertions in Proposition 3.1.*

**Remark 3.3.** Note that the principal Higgs bundles as well as the ramified  $G$ -bundles (see [4]) form special cases of objects considered in Theorem 3.2.

## References

- [1] Atiyah MF. On the Krull–Schmidt theorem with application to sheaves. Bull Soc Math France 1956;84: 307–17.
- [2] Balaji V, Biswas I, Nagaraj DS. Krull–Schmidt reduction for principal bundles. J Reine Angew Math 2005;578:225–34.
- [3] Digne F, Michel J. Representations of finite groups of Lie type. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 21, Cambridge; Cambridge University Press: 1991.
- [4] Balaji V, Biswas I, Nagaraj DS. Ramified  $G$ -bundles as parabolic bundles. J Ramanujan Math Soc 2003;18: 123–38.